Phytologia (February 1994) 76(2):125-135.

# PERIPLEURA (ASTERACEAE: ASTEREAE): A NEW, AUSTRALIAN GENUS SEGREGATED FROM VITTADINIA

#### Guy L. Nesom

Department of Botany, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78713 U.S.A.

### ABSTRACT

The nine species of Vittadinia subg. Peripleura (sensu Burbidge 1982) are completely distinct from the 20 species of subg. Vittadinia. Further, in their achenes with multinervate faces, the species of subg. Vittadinia are more similar to those of the Australian Camptacra and the New Guinean/Hawaiian Tetramolopium than they are to the species of subg. Peripleura, which have only a single pair of achenial nerves. Two-nerved achenes are found in other closely related Australian genera as well as related genera from South America. The distinctive tendency to produce multinervate achenial faces apparently is a specialization restricted to subg. Vittadinia, Camptacra, and Tetramolopium; and in the interpretation here, these three taxa are interrelated and occupy a phyletically advanced position relative to the species of subg. Peripleura. Accordingly, the latter are here segregated as the new genus Peripleura (Burbidge) Nesom, stat. nov., with accompanying new combinations for species and varieties.

KEY WORDS: Peripleura, Vittadinia, Asteraceae, Astereae, Australia

In a taxonomic revision of the Australasian genus Vittadinia A. Rich., Burbidge (1982) recognized 29 species divided into two groups: subg. Vittadinia (20 species) and subg. Peripleura Burbidge (nine species). She also moved two species of Australian Vittadinia into the new genus Camptacra Burbidge, endemic to north-central and northeastern Australia (also see recent nomenclatural modification in Camptacra by Lander 1987a). The monotypic genus Eurybiopsis DC. (Vittadinia [Eurybiopsis] macrorhiza [DC.] A. Gray) was reinstated by Burbidge, but it has more recently been absorbed into Minuria DC. (Lander & Barry 1980b; Lander 1987b). The present paper provides perspective on the taxonomy proposed by Burbidge regarding *Camptacra* and the two subgenera of *Vittadinia*.

The genera under discussion belong within a larger Australasian and South Pacific grouping that includes *Tetramolopium* Nees, a genus divided between New Guinea and the Hawaiian and Cook Islands and hypothesized by Lowrey (1986, p. 204) to be most closely related to *Camptacra* and *Vittadinia*, based on their common possession of "subulate style appendages, prominent barbellate pappus bristles, and several similar achene features." Additional genera of this group are *Ixiochlamys* Sond. and *Dichromochlamys* Dunlop (Dunlop 1980a, 1980b), *Minuria* DC., and the closely related *Kippistia* F. Muell. (Lander & Barry 1980a, 1980b), and *Iotasperma* Nesom (Nesom 1994).

Except for the disposition of Eurybiopsis as a synonym of Minuria and the exclusion of Isoetopsis Turcz., this group of essentially Australasian genera is the same as that delimited by Zhang & Bremer (1993) as the "Vittadinia group." The integrity of this group is accepted in a classification of the Astereae (Nesom in prep.), but at that broader level the Vittadinia group is hypothesized to further include the South American genera Asteropsis Less., Blakiella Cuatr., Laennecia Cass., Microgynella Grau, Podocoma Cass., and Sommerfeltia Less. (the "Podocoma group"). Morphologically, plants of the Australian Vittadinia group are characterized by the following: perennial (rarely annual) herbs or small shrubs with solitary heads on leafy (sometimes longpedunculate) stems, the leaves and stems commonly glandular; (eglandular in Minuria, Dimorphocoma, and Elachanthus); disc flowers bisexual (with sterile ovaries in Minuria, a portion of Tetramolopium, Dimorphocoma, Elachanthus, and two species of Ixiochlamys), the corollas with short lobes and narrow tube longer than the limb; pistillate flowers numerous and in several series (1-seriate in Dimorphocoma and Elachanthus), with short, narrow, white to bluish ligules (yellow in Kippistia); achenes commonly with glandular surfaces (eglandular in Minuria), flat and 2-nerved (the faces multinervate in Tetramolopium, Camptacra, and Vittadinia subg. Vittadinia), with a tendency to produce a beak (Iziochlamys) or neck (Dichromochlamys, Vittadinia subg. Vittadinia, somewhat less distinctly in Minuria and Vittadinia subg. Peripleura; pappus (1-) 2-3 series of basally persistent bristles (tardily caducous in Vittadinia subg. Peripleura); all chromosome counts have reported a number of n=9.

Burbidge distinguished *Camptacra* and the two subgenera of *Vittadinia* by contrasts in the following key (modified and extended from her original):

- 1. Achenes mostly obovate to oblanceolate or cuneate, rarely uniformly pur-

The two subgenera of Vittadinia

The species of subg. Peripleura form a morphologically coherent group of closely similar species, most of them recently segregated from a broadly conceived Vittadinia scabra DC. by Burbidge (1982). The one other species already recognized at the beginning of Burbidge's study, V. hispidula F. Muell. ex A. Gray, was treated by Bentham (1866) as a synonym of V. scabra. There are no species of Vittadinia that could be interpreted as intermediate between subg. Vittadinia and subg. Peripleura. Iotasperma is the only Australian taxon besides subg. Peripleura with obovate, apically rounded achenes; among the South American genera closely related to the Vittadinia group, Sommerfeltia and Laennecia are particularly similar in achene morphology to subg. Peripleura.

The species of subg. Vittadinia constitute a presumably monophyletic group, based on the distinctively shaped achenes (with a sterile "foot" and truncate

apex) with a dense basal tuft of hairs and 2-3 seriate pappus that are characteristic of all species. Fourteen of these species produce achenes with consistent and conspicuous facial nervation (see Burbidge 1982, plates 3-9). Achenes of the remaining six species have mostly smooth faces (but see caveats below). Burbidge observed that the "species whose cypselas lack facial ribbing were probably derived from ribbed types. This view is based on characters visible in transverse section but it is consistent with the fact that ribbed-cypsela types have the widest geographical distribution" (1982, p. 5). Burbidge did not add any details regarding this observation, but it has been corroborated in the present study by dissecting achenes, removing the embryo, and studying the fruit walls mounted in Hoyer's solution.

The achenial morphology of subg. Vittadinia more closely resembles that of other Australasian genera than subg. Peripleura. Within the Vittadinia group, and including its close relatives in South America, achenes are strictly 2-nerved except in subg. Vittadinia, Camptacra, and Tetramolopium, where they have a number of slightly raised facial nerves in addition to the marginal pair. In these three taxa, however, only the marginal pair of nerves is vascularized; the facial nerves are essentially unvascularized fiber bundles, although a single element or group of tracheids may appear unpredictably and rarely in the fiber bundles, either near the achene base above the divergence of the lateral vascular bundles or toward the middle of the achene.

As noted by Burbidge (1982), the facial nerves in subg. Vittadinia arise at the achene base but commonly may not reach the apex; at least one of the Vittadinia species noted by Burbidge to lack facial ribbing, V. megacephala, sometimes may have such ribs externally visible in lower third of mature achenes. The same occurs in achenes of some Tetramolopium species, where facial nerves sometimes extend only a short distance above their basal origin. Vittadinia pterochaeta produces nearly terete achenes that are usually without any visible superficial ribbing (including even the lateral nerves), but numerous ribs are sometimes visible, particularly in immature achenes; the ribs are obscured by the heavily fibrous nature of the mature achene wall but their position can be seen more clearly in dissected and cleared material. In V. pustulata, the longitudinal ribbing is anastamosing rather than parallel, and the distinctive pustules that characterize the achene surfaces of this species are formed in the resulting interstitial spaces. In Tetramolopium, the facial nerves may be variable in number within species, populations, and even single heads, although "each taxon has a predominant number and configuration of nerves" (Lowrey 1986, p. 212).

Among genera outside of the Vittadinia group but potentially closely related to it (e.g., within the Brachycome Cass. group, the Grangea Adans. group, and the Conyza L. group; Nesom in prep.), achenes are flat and 2nerved, or if more nerves are present, most or all tend to be vascularized. The same is true for the genera of the South American Podocoma group, which is Nesom:

closely related to the Vittadinia group. Achenes with numerous, essentially unvascularized facial nerves are an evolutionary specialization within the Vittadinia group, where they are characteristic of Vittadinia subg. Vittadinia but not of subg. Peripleura.

#### Camptacra

The species of Camptacra differ from those of subg. Vittadinia in their achenes without a basal extension or dense basal tuft of hairs. Camptacra differs from both subg. Peripleura and subg. Vittadinia in its achenes with relatively thin marginal nerves that are no thicker than the facial nerves (vs. marginal nerves strongly thickened in most of subg. Peripleura and some, but not all, species of subg. Vittadinia), embryos with a rounded base (vs. pointed base), and aspects of its disc corolla morphology. In Camptacra, the tube of the disc corollas is narrowly funnelform with the staminal filaments attached at or below the middle, with little or no swelling to indicate the position of attachment (vs. corolla tube narrowly cylindric with the staminal filaments attached near the middle or in the upper third, the position indicated by a slight swelling). Camptacra (as well as subg. Peripleura) differs from subg. Vittadinia in their reduced number of ray flowers and reduction in the number of pappus series.

## Tetramolopium

Tetramolopium has received detailed taxonomic treatment, those of New Guinea by Koster (1966) and van Royen (1981), those of Hawaii in monographic detail by Lowrey (1986). The species are predominately woody shrubs of relatively high elevations, and they are geographically separated in New Guinea and smaller Pacific Islands from the main part of the Vittadinia group. Lowrey divided the genus into three sections: sect. Tetramolopium and sect. Sandwicense Lowrey are restricted to the Hawaiian Islands, except for T. sylvae Lowrey (sect. Tetramolopium), which also has been reported from the Cook Islands; sect. Alpinum Lowrey includes all of the New Guinean species and the Hawaiian T. humile (A. Gray) Hillebr.

Successful artificial hybridizations by Lowrey in all combinations among Hawaiian taxa of all three sections of *Tetramolopium* showed that genetic barriers are essentially lacking among these species (the New Guinean taxa have not been included in crossing experiments). There also are high genetic identities among the Hawaiian species, based on allozyme studies (Lowrey & Crawford 1985). In spite of this, there is considerable diversity within *Tetramolopium* in morphology and reproductive characteristics. In Tetramolopium sect. Alpinum, the fertile achenes of New Guinean species usually produce a pair of mid-facial nerves, but the achenes of *T. humile* lack facial nerves or have a single pair present only near the achene base. Achenes in the rest of the genus have several nerves on each face in addition to the marginal nerves. The disc flowers in sect. Tetramolopium and New Guinean sect. Alpinum have consistently sterile ovaries; Koster (1966) noted that among the 21 New Guinean species treated by her, only *T. bicolor* Koster has fertile disc achenes, supplying a caveat that the apparent fertility might not be constant. Tetramolopium humile, however, has fertile ovaries, as do the species of sect. Sandwicense. The pappus in Tetramolopium is either 1- or 2-seriate.

The species of sect. Sandwicense stand apart from the others of the genus in their combination of bisexual, fully fertile disc flowers, heads in a corymboidpaniculate capitulescence (vs. solitary heads in other species of the genus), and shorter, relatively flat phyllaries with broad margins. If the genus is indeed monophyletic, and if the Hawaiian species are derived from New Guinean ones, as seems reasonable, one must make the unlikely but necessary hypothesis (as did Lowrey) that the species with a corymboid capitulescence and fertile disc ovaries have been derived from those with solitary heads and sterile ovaries.

Lowrey did not formulate a phyletic hypothesis for the species of *Tetramolopium*, nor did he include New Guinean species in his comparative genetic studies, but in view of the peculiar internal complexity of the genus, a phylogenetic investigation would be interesting, especially in a broader systematic context. Besides the species of sect. *Sandwicense*, there are no others in any genus of the *Vittadinia* group with a corymboid capitulescence, but there are such within Olearia Moench and related Australasian genera of subtribe Hinterhuberinae (Nesom 1993), which have other suggestive resemblances to these species of *Tetramolopium*.

#### Other Australasian genera of the Vittadinia group

Iziochlamys, Dichromochlamys, and Iotasperma produce necked or beaked achenes; the first two have markedly elaborated involucres. In Minuria and Kippistia, the disc flowers have consistently sterile ovaries and the pappus is 2-seriate, with the outer series much shorter than the inner. Iotasperma is specialized in its annual duration, reduced habit, and small, short-necked achenes with an essentially 1-seriate pappus. Dimorphocoma and Elachanthus both comprise eglandular, annual, few-headed herbs with a paucibracteate involucre, 1-seriate pistillate flowers, sterile disc ovaries, and scaly pappus. Among the taxa of the Vittadinia group in the Australasian region, Camptacra and the two subgenera of Vittadinia appear to be relatively unspecialized in most respects. Nesom:

The foregoing discussion is summarized in the following observations: (1) the species of Vittadinia subg. Peripleura represent a morphologically distinct lineage not intergrading with subg. Vittadinia; (2) the degree of morphological separation between subg. Peripleura and subg. Vittadinia is roughly equivalent to that between Camptacra and subg. Vittadinia; and (3) the distinctively specialized, multinervate achenial faces produced by Camptacra, Tetramolopium, and subg. Vittadinia suggest that subg. Peripleura occupies a primitive evolutionary position relative to all three. If Camptacra is segregated as a genus, which appears to be justifiable, then subg. Peripleura should also be treated at generic rank. Burbidge observed that the nature of the relationship between the two subgenera of Vittadinia is obscure and noted (p. 17) that "If a narrow circumscription was adopted for genera of Astereae in Australia, [subg. Peripleural could be regarded as distinct." In the view here, subg. Peripleura is no more narrowly circumscribed as a distinct genus than its close relatives. and the proposal is made below for its formal taxonomic elevation. The following key to the Australasian genera of the Vittadinia group provides additional perspective on the distinctions among these genera.

- Peripleura (Burbidge) Nesom, gen. et stat. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia subg. Peripleura Burbidge, Brunonia 5:17. 1982. Type species: Peripleura hispidula (F. Muell. ex A. Gray) Nesom.
  - 1. Peripleura arida (Burbidge) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia arida Burbidge, Brunonia 5:24. 1982.
  - 2. Peripleura bicolor (Burbidge) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia bicolor Burbidge, Brunonia 5:25. 1982.
  - 3. Peripleura diffusa (Burbidge) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia diffusa Burbidge, Brunonia 5:20. 1982.
  - Peripleura hispidula (F. Muell. ex A. Gray) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia hispidula F. Muell. ex A. Gray, Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts 5:118. 1862.
    - a. Peripleura hispidula (F. Muell. ex A. Gray) Nesom var. hispidula.
    - b. Peripleura hispidula (F. Muell. ex A. Gray) Nesom var. setosa (Burbidge) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia hispidula F. Muell. ex A. Gray var. setosa Burbidge, Brunonia 5:23. 1982.
  - 5. Peripleura obovata (Burbidge) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia obovata Burbidge, Brunonia 5:25. 1982.
  - 6. Peripleura scabra (DC.) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia scabra DC., Prodr. 5:281. 1836.

- 7. Peripleura sericea (Burbidge) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia sericea Burbidge, Brunonia 5:26. 1982.
- 8. Peripleura spechtii (Burbidge) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia spechtii Burbidge, Brunonia 5:19. 1982.
  - a. Peripleura spechtii (Burbidge) Nesom var. kimberleyensis (Burbidge) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia spechtii Burbidge var. kimberleyensis Burbidge, Brunonia 5:20. 1982.
  - b. Peripleura spechtii (Burbidge) Nesom var. spechtii.
- 9. Peripleura virgata (Burbidge) Nesom, comb. nov. BASIONYM: Vittadinia virgata Burbidge, Brunonia 5:21. 1982.

## KEY TO THE AUSTRALASIAN GENERA OF THE VITTADINIA GROUP (excluding Hawaiian Tetramolopium)

1.	Achenes apically rounded or truncate, without a neck or beak(5)
1.	Achenes with a short neck or long beak(2)
	2. Achenes with a long, filiform beak; receptacles flat or nearly so; involucres reflexed after fruiting
	2. Achenes with a short neck
3.	Achenes with a prominently narrowed, basal extension below the seed, the basal extension densely tufted with stiff, appressed hairs
3.	Achenes without a narrowed, basal extension below the seed, the hairs at the base no denser than on the surface
	4. Achenes ca. 1 mm long, with a short, narrow neck; pappus bristles 1-seriate; involucral bracts never incurved Iotasperma
	4. Achenes ca. 2 mm long, with a short, broad neck; pappus bristles 2- seriate, the outer distinctly shorter than the inner; involucral bracts incurved after fruiting
5.	Achenes with only a pair of lateral nerves, these variable in thickness, sometimes obscure or absent
5.	Achenes usually with 2-numerous facial nerves between the pair of lateral nerves (facial nerves absent in six species of <i>Vittadinia</i> )

6. Achenes with a prominently narrowed, basal extension below the seed, the basal extension densely tufted with stiff, appressed hairs.
<ol> <li>Achenes narrowly oblong to obovate to oblanceolate, without a narrowed, basal extension below the seed, the hairs at achene base no denser than on the achene surface</li></ol>
. Disc flowers with fertile ovaries; achenes usually with 3 pairs of facial nerves; herbs from a woody rootstock
. Disc flowers with sterile ovaries; fertile achenes with one pair of facial nerves, rarely none; woody-based shrubs or shrublets Tetramolopium
8. Achenes with a prominently narrowed, basal extension below the seed, the basal extension densely tufted with stiff, appressed hairs.
8. Achenes without a narrowed, basal extension below the seed, the hairs at achene base no denser than on the achene surface (9)
. Disc flowers with sterile achenes; pappus of ray and disc achenes different. (10)
. Disc flowers with fertile achenes; pappus of ray and disc achenes similar
<ol> <li>Disc flowers with 5 lobes; pappus of ray achenes of equal-length bristles, pappus of disc achenes of unequal bristles or of bristles and scales</li></ol>
<ol> <li>Disc flowers with 3-4 lobes; pappus of ray achenes of scales or scales and bristles, pappus of disc (sterile) achenes of bristles(11)</li> </ol>
1. Leaves linear; ray achenes with pappus of scales
1. Leaves oblanceolate; ray achenes with pappus of scales and bristles Dimorphocoma
12. Ray flowers with yellow corollas, the ovaries often sterile; disc flowers 4-merous; achenes apically truncate, with a corresponding broad pappus insertion
12. Ray flowers with white to bluish corollas, the ovaries fertile; disc flowers 5-merous; achenes apically rounded to a narrow pappus in- sertion

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Billie Turner and Mark Mayfield for their review of the manuscript, Jim Mauseth for comments on the achenial anatomy of *Vittadinia* species, and the staffs of MO and US for their help during recent visits.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Bentham, G. 1866. Vittadinia. Flora Australiensis 3:489-492. Lovell Reeve and Co., London, Great Britain.
- Burbidge, N. 1982. A revision of the Vittadinia A. Rich. (Compositae) together with reinstatement of Eurybiopsis DC. and description of a new genus, Camptacra. Brunonia 5:1-72.
- Dunlop, C.R. 1980a. Dichromochlamys, a new genus in Asteraceae (Astereae). J. Adelaide Bot. Gard. 24:235-239.

- Koster, J.T. 1966. The Compositae of New Guinea I. Nova Guinea 24:524-612.
- Lander, N.S. 1987a. New combinations in *Camptacra* N. Burb. (Asteraceae: Astereae). Nuytsia 6:61.

\_\_\_\_\_. 1987b. New combinations in *Minuria* DC. (Asteraceae: Astereae). Nuytsia 6:63-66.

Lander, N.S. & R. Barry. 1980a. Reinstatement of the genus Kippistia F. Muell. (Asteraceae, Astereae). Nuytsia 3:215-219.

\_\_\_\_\_. 1980b. A review of the genus Minuria DC. (Asteraceae, Astereae). Nuytsia 3:221-237.

- Lowrey, T.K. 1986. A biosystematic revision of Hawaiian Tetramolopium (Compositae - Astereae). Allertonia 4:204-264.
- Lowrey, T.K. & D.J. Crawford. 1985. Allozyme divergence and evolution in *Tetramolopium* (Compositae: Astereae) on the Hawaiian Islands. Syst. Bot. 10:64-72.

Nesom:

Nesom, G.L. 1993. Aztecaster (Asteraceae: Astereae), a new ditypic genus of dioecious shrubs from México with redefinitions of the subtribes Hinterhuberinae and Baccharidinae. Phytologia 75:55-73.

\_\_\_\_. 1994. Taxonomic dispersal of Australian Erigeron (Asteraceae: Astereae). Phytologia 76:143-159.

- Royen, P. Van. 1981. Alpine Flora of New Guinea (Vol. 2). J. Cramer, Vaduz, Liechtenstein.
- Zhang, X. & K. Bremer. 1993. A cladistic analysis of the tribe Astereae (Asteraceae) with notes on their evolution and subtribal classification. Pl. Syst. Evol. 184:259-283.